A Look at Five Self-Identified Career Paths Among Neonatologists

Eric Horowitz¹, Anisha Bhatia², Holly Ruch-Ross³, Lauren Barone³, Mark L. Hudak⁴ ¹Newborn Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA; ²Northeast Ohio Medical University, Rootstown, OH; ³American Academy of Pediatrics, Itasca, IL; ⁴University of Florida College of Medicine, Jacksonville, FL

BACKGROUND

 Careers in medicine have traditionally been dichotomized into academic or private practice venues, but over time, career identities have become more complex and embrace a tapestry of missions with different types of productivity.

OBJECTIVE

- This study examined characteristics of 5 career paths by exploring the professional duties of those who identified with them.
- We hypothesized that these career types have distinct profiles of relative effort in the domains of clinical work, scholarly effort, administrative responsibilities, total time effort, and compensation.

METHODS

- The AAP conducted a voluntary anonymous survey of all board-certified and eligible United States neonatologists from July to November 2021.
- 2113, or 30%, of surveyed individuals responded.
- The survey included questions about professional duties, social factors, and compensation.
- We offered respondents a choice to self-identify with 1 of 5 career paths:
- Clinician neonatologist with primary/exclusive role as clinical caregiver;
- Administrator/Leader hospital or practice leadership and/or major service role within institution/organization;
- Clinician-Educator creator of new educational material or programs and/or local expert clinical teacher of trainees or colleagues;
- Clinical Expert accomplished clinician who may develop protocols, publish book chapters, or disseminate expertise beyond the local area;
- Physician-Scientist researcher in basic science, clinical trials, health outcomes, health services, or public health arenas
- We analyzed responses from the subset of 1205 neonatologists who self-identified with one of these career paths and used t-test of means and Wilcoxon test of medians, using JMP 16.1.0 by SAS (Cary, NC).

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

Neonatologists can embrace several distinct career paths

Compared to neonatologists who identified as Clinicians:

- Administrators/Leaders had fewer clinical obligations, engaged in more research, held higher academic rank, had more administrative time, and received the highest compensation.
- Clinical Educators and Clinical Experts participated in more research and medical education, held higher academic rank, and received lower compensation.
- Physician-Scientists had the lowest clinical time commitment, expended the greatest effort in research, held higher academic rank, and received lower compensation.

Sample Size

Clinical caregiver

organizations	
Clinical Educator:	89 (7%)
Creator of new educational materials and/or local eacher of trainees or colleagues	05 (770)
Clinical Expert:	64 (5%)
Creator of new educational materials and/or local	04 (370)
eacher of trainees or colleagues	
Physician Scientist:	135 /110/\
Clinical outcomes, public health, health care delivery, or basic science researcher	135 (11%)
	935 /609/\
On Academic Track (Yes)	835 (69%)
Gender Identity	406 (410/)
Male	486 (41%)
Female	671 (57%)
Ethnic and Racial Identity	
Hispanic/Latinx	92 (8%)
Asian	193 (16%)
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	3 (0%)
Black/African American	47 (4%)
Middle Eastern/North African	23 (2%)
American Indian/Alaska Native	3 (0%)
White	838 (72%)
Other	31 (3%)
Declined to respond	61 (5%)
Sexual Identity	
Lesbian or gay	31 (3%)
Straight	1067 (91%)
Bisexual	13 (1%)
Age	
31-35	81 (7%)
36-40	181 (16%)
41-45	184 (16%)
46-50	166 (14%)
51-55	131 (11%)
56-60	128 (11%)
61-65	119 (10%)
66-70	96 (8%)
71 years or older	65 (6%)
Medical School	
United States	920 (78%)
Canada	8 (1%)
Caribbean	34 (3%)
Other	214 (18%)
AAP Membership	
AAP only	106 (9%)
Both AAP and SONPM	968 (81%)
Neither the AAP nor SONPM	128 (11%)
AAP District Location	
District I	79 (7%)
District II	79 (7%)
District III	122 (10%)
District IV	118 (10%)
District V	95 (8%)
District VI	162 (14%)
District VII	146 (13%)
District VIII	130 (11%)
District IX	116 (10%)
District X	117 (10%)
career na	the

Table 1: Respondent Characteristics Table 2: Professional Activity Across Career Types

		Clinician	Administrative	p-value	Clinical Educator	p-value	Clinical Expert	p-value	Physician Scientist	p
		n = 711	n = 205		n = 89		n = 64		n = 135	
	Weekday	90 (50 - 120)	65 (40 - 95.75)	<0.001	80 (58.75 - 100)	NS	75 (52.5 - 100)	NS	50 (35 - 70)	
	Weeknight	32.5 (19.5 - 52)	24 (10 - 33)	<0.001	25 (15 - 36)	NS	24 (19 - 37)	NS	20 (10.75 - 30)	
	Weekend day	24 (15 - 34)	18 (12 - 26)	<0.001	21 (12 - 26)	NS	24 (13.75 - 30.5)	NS	16 (12 - 24)	
	Weekend nights	15 (10 - 24)	12 (6 - 15)	<0.001	12 (8 - 15)	0.037	12 (8 - 16)	NS	12 (7 - 13)	
	Clinical Hours	1728 (1072 - 2304)	1134 (653.5 - 1652)	<0.001	1298.5 (915 - 1874.75)	0.007	1394 (968 - 1772.5)	NS	1031.5 (602.5 - 1412)	
	Home Call Coverage	60 (30 - 120)	45 (24 - 79)	0.001	45 (27.25 - 66)	NS	50 (28.5 - 63)	NS	30 (24 - 50)	
	Average Daily Census									
	Critical Care	5 (3 - 10)	10 (5 - 12)	<0.001	10 (5 - 15)	<0.001	10 (4.75 - 10)	NS	10 (6 - 14)	
	Intensive Care	9 (5 - 12)	10 (5 - 12)	NS	10 (5 - 15)	NS	8 (5 - 10.25)	NS	10 (5 - 10.5)	
	Non-Critical Care	0 (0 - 4)	0 (0 - 4)	NS	0 (0 - 5)	NS	0 (0 - 4)	NS	0 (0 - 4)	
	Normal Newborn	0 (0 - 5)	0 (0 - 0)	<0.001	0 (0 - 0)	<0.001	0 (0 - 0)	0.009	0 (0 - 0)	
	Total Rounding Census	20 (15 - 28)	20 (16 - 25)	NS	22 (17 - 30)	NS	20 (16 - 25)	NS	20 (18 - 25)	
	Level of Principal Nursery									
	Level 4	212 (30%)	116 (58%)	<0.001	62 (70%)	<0.001	43 (69%)	<0.001	101 (78%)	
ŀ	Level 3	415 (59%)	73 (37%)	<0.001	23 (26%)	<0.001	13 (21%)	<0.001	27 (21%)	
ŀ	Level 2	74 (10%)	10 (5%)	0.018	0 (0%)	<0.001	3 (5%)	NS	2 (2%)	
ŀ	Level 1	7 (1%)	1 (1%)	NS	3 (3%)	NS	3 (5%)	0.04	0 (0%)	
ŀ	Have Outpatient Duties (Yes)	117 (17%)	39 (19%)	NS	23 (26%)	NS	15 (24%)	NS	23 (17%)	
ŀ	Outpatient Days	12 (6 - 27)	20 (10 - 40)	NS	30 (15 - 60)	0.012	30 (12 - 100)	0.01	16.5 (9.5 - 29.25)	
	Engage in Research (Yes)	203 (29%)	121 (60%)	<0.001	59 (67%)	<0.001	48 (75%)	<0.001	131 (98%)	
	Linguige in Nescaren (1es)			\0.001		\0.001		\0.001		
	Annual Funding	\$0 (\$0, \$10,000)	\$22,500	.0.004	\$11,500	0.012	\$10,000	0.028	\$150,000	
ŀ	And in the Constant in the Constant	(\$0 - \$10,000)	(\$0 - \$200,000)	<0.001	(\$0 - \$46,250)	NG	(\$0 - \$75,000)	10.004	(\$50,000 - \$437,500)	
ŀ	Applied for Grants this past year (Y		33 (27%)	0.022	16 (28%)	NS	19 (40%)	<0.001	93 (71%)	+
	Number of Applications	2 (1 - 2)	2 (1 - 3.25)	NS	1 (1 - 4.5)	NS	2 (1 - 3)	NS	2 (2 - 4)	+
	Scholarly Productivity									
	Total Principal Authorship		8 (2 - 25)	<0.001	3 (2 - 10)	0.004	6 (2 - 15)	<0.001	13 (6 - 39)	+
	Presentations	3 (2 - 6)	5 (2 - 10)	0.002	6 (3 - 12)	<0.001	4 (2 - 10)	NS	5 (3 - 8.25)	
ļ	Active in Medical Education (Yes)	446 (63%)	164 (80%)	<0.001	83 (94%)	<0.001	52 (81%)	0.008	93 (69%)	_
-	Fellowship Program Director (Yes)		25 (15%)	<0.001	29 (35%)	<0.001	4 (8%)	NS	12 (13%)	\bot
ļ	Education (weeks)	4 (1 - 12)	7.5 (2 - 15)	0.017	11 (4 - 20)	<0.001	8 (4 - 11)	NS	4 (2 - 12)	\bot
	Academic Appointment									_
	No	295 (41%)	28 (14%)	<0.001	6 (7%)	<0.001	6 (9%)	<0.001	2 (1%)	
	Yes (not tenure)	367 (52%)	123 (60%)	0.039	68 (76%)	<0.001	54 (84%)	<0.001	71 (53%)	
	Yes (tenure track)	28 (4%)	50 (24%)	<0.001	12 (13%)	<0.001	4 (6%)	NS	58 (43%)	
	Academic Rank									
	Instructor	36 (9%)	5 (3%)	0.008	2 (3%)	0.044	1 (2%)	NS	10 (8%)	
	Assistant professor	196 (50%)	32 (19%)	<0.001	37 (46%)	NS	20 (34%)	0.035	39 (30%)	
	Associate professor	91 (23%)	44 (25%)	NS	23 (29%)	NS	20 (34%)	NS	36 (28%)	
	Full professor	34 (9%)	83 (48%)	<0.001	17 (21%)	0.002	15 (26%)	<0.001	41 (32%)	
	Adjunct	24 (6%)	5 (3%)	NS	0 (0%)	0.021	2 (3%)	NS	1 (1%)	
	Administrative Time (Weeks)	6 (2 - 12)	24 (10 - 40)	<0.001	8 (3.5 - 15.5)	NS	10 (4 - 20)	NS	5 (3 - 17.5)	
	Internal Leadership Roles									
ľ	Chair, Institutional Committee	40 (6%)	34 (17%)	<0.001	4 (5%)	NS	1 (2%)	NS	7 (6%)	
ļ	Medical/Program Director	234 (34%)	101 (50%)	<0.001	35 (41%)	NS	26 (43%)	NS	17 (13%)	†
ľ	Division Chief	36 (5%)	48 (24%)	<0.001	3 (3%)	NS	7 (11%)	NS	16 (13%)	
ŀ	Department Chair	25 (4%)	15 (7%)	NS	1 (1%)	NS	0 (0%)	NS	2 (2%)	†
ŀ	None	301 (43%)	9 (4%)	<0.001	21 (24%)	NS	20 (33%)	0.001	49 (39%)	+
ŀ	External Leadership Roles	332 (13/0)	3 (1/0)	10.001	22 (21/0)	1,15	20 (33/0)	5.551	13 (3370)	+
ŀ	State Committee Chair	10 (1%)	9 (5%)	NS	1 (1%)	NS	5 (8%)	0.009	4 (3%)	+
ŀ	National Committee Chair	10 (1%)		<0.001		NS		NS		+
ŀ	Inter-Institutional Collab Director		18 (9%)	NS	6 (7%)	NS	5 (8%)	NS	17 (13%)	
		7 (1%)	5 (3%)		1 (1%)		3 (5%)		3 (2%)	
	Internatioanl Collab Chair	` '	4 (2%)	0.005	0 (0%)	NS <0.001	1 (2%)	NS <0.001	2 (2%)	
	None	502 (74%)	67 (34%)	< 0.001	40 (48%)	< 0.001	32 (51%)	< 0.001	56 (43%)	

Table 3: Professional Time and Compensation Across Career Types

		Clinician	Administrative	p-value	Clinical Educator	p-value	Clinical Expert	p-value	Physician Scientist	p-valu
		n = 711	n = 205	p 14.45	n = 89	p raise	n = 64	, raide	n = 135	ртана
	Reported Percent Time	11 722	11 203		11 03		11 01		11 133	
	% Clinical	74%	43%	<0.001	55%	<0.001	51%	<0.001	31%	<0.002
	% Admin	10%	33%	<0.001	13%	NS	14%	NS	9%	NS
	% Teaching	6%	8%	NS	17%	<0.001	11%	0.001	7%	NS
	% Research	3%	8%	<0.001	9%	<0.001	15%	<0.001	48%	<0.00
Time	% Clinincal	3%	6%	0.007	8%	<0.001	12%	<0.001	23%	<0.00
	% Bench	0%	1%	NS	0%	NS	0%	NS	19%	<0.00
				NS		NS NS	3%			
	% Health Service	0%	1%		1%			0.004	6%	<0.00
-	% Other Med Activities	3%	5%	0.013	4%	NS	7%	0.001	3%	NS
	% Other Activities	1%	2%	NS	1%	NS	2%	NS	0%	NS
	Estimated Professional Hours	4700/4070 0004	4404 (574 4570)		1000 (017 1077)		1001 (000 1770)	4.0	1000 (000 1110)	
	Clinical Time	1728 (1072 - 2304)	1134 (654 - 1652)	<0.001	1299 (915 - 1875)	0.007	1394 (968 - 1773)	NS	1032 (603 - 1412)	<0.00
	Research Time	0 (0 - 70)	105 (0 - 303)	<0.001	89 (0 - 276)	<0.001	174 (0 - 326)	<0.001	1492 (771 - 2447)	<0.00
	Admininstrative Time	320 (140 - 800)	1200 (600 - 2080)	<0.001	420 (190 - 850)	NS	540 (245 - 1200)	NS	320 (125 - 800)	NS
	Teaching Time	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	386 (120 - 934)	0.004	600 (240 - 1080)	<0.001	450 (180 - 710)	NS	230 (110 - 758)	NS
	Total Professional Time	2112 (1287 - 2990)	2780 (1878 - 3820)	<0.001	2382 (1600 - 3467)	NS	2455 (1674 - 3756)	NS	3031 (2109 - 4251)	<0.00
	Employment Status									
	Employee	583 (82%)	184 (90%)	0.012	84 (94%)	0.006	61 (95%)	0.013	131 (98%)	<0.00
	Full/Part owner	51 (7%)	9 (4%)	NS	2 (2%)	NS	2 (3%)	NS	1 (1%)	0.01
	Contractor	78 (11%)	12 (6%)	NS	2 (2%)	0.017	1 (2%)	0.032	0 (0%)	<0.0
	Other Employment Type	17 (2%)	2 (1%)	NS	1 (1%)	NS	1 (2%)	NS	2 (1%)	NS
	Work Part-Time (Yes)	87 (12%)	24 (12%)	NS	9 (10%)	NS	6 (9%)	NS	11 (8%)	NS
	Cash Compensation									
Compensation	Base Compensation	\$250,000 (\$215,000 - \$310,000)	\$270,000 (\$220,000 - \$326,250)	NS	\$217,000 (\$180,000 - \$258,750)	<0.001	\$235,000 (\$214,500 - \$275,000)	NS	\$230,000 (\$188,171 - \$277,500)	<0.00
	Administrative stipend	\$15,000 (\$5,000 - \$30,000)	\$30,000 (\$14,700 - \$55,000)	<0.001	\$5,000 (\$2,000 - \$12,500)	0.042	\$3,000 (\$1,750 - \$22,500)	NS	\$7,500 (\$2,375 - \$27,750)	NS
	Extra duty earnings	\$20,000 (\$10,000 - \$50,000)	\$25,000 (\$10,050 - \$50,000)	NS	\$25,000 (\$8,000 - \$40,000)	NS	\$12,000 (\$6,000 - \$40,000)	NS	\$16,500 (\$5,000 - \$36,000)	NS
	Productivity incentive	\$30,000 (\$10,000 - \$86,250)	\$21,000 (\$10,000 - \$66,500)	NS	\$10,000 (\$4,500 - \$20,000)	<0.001	\$7,000 (\$3,500 - \$21,500)	0.007	\$10,000 (\$7,000 - \$30,000)	0.01
	Quality incentive	\$14,000 (\$5,250 - \$25,000)	\$10,000 (\$5,000 - \$36,250)	NS	\$7,000 (\$4,250 - \$10,000)	NS	\$4,500 (\$2,625 - \$9,750)	NS	\$10,000 (\$3,500 - \$25,500)	NS
	Research incentive	\$15,000 (\$10,000 - \$20,000)	\$2,000 (\$1,850 - \$19,298)	NS	\$2,500 (\$76 - \$21,250)	NS	\$3,245 (\$500 - \$5,000)	NS	\$9,500 (\$5,000 - \$32,500)	NS
	Total Cash Compensation (summed)		\$311,850 (\$252,625 - \$425,500)	0.005	\$240,000 (\$198,000 - \$274,000)	<0.001	\$245,500 (\$226,000 - \$290,000)	0.043	\$252,000 (\$202,500 - \$301,250)	<0.00

Neonatologists can embrace several career paths focused on different missions. Institutions and organizations should understand the value that each career path brings and seek to support optimal professional expectations for all.